

Kotlarski's question

Roman Kossak

In the 1980s, Henryk Kotlarski published two papers devoted to the rich theory of elementary cuts in countable recursively saturated models of PA, [4, 5]. Let M be such a model. In both papers Kotlarski asked: What is the structure of the lattice of elementary substructures of M ? In particular, does it depend on M ?

For a model M , $Lt(M)$ is the lattice of elementary submodels of M under inclusion, and $Lt_0(M)$ is the $\vee$ -subsemilattice of $Lt(M)$ consisting of finitely generated elementary submodels of M . A partial answer to Kotlarski's question is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. ([2]) Let M and N be countable arithmetically saturated models of the same completion of PA. The following are equivalent:

- (1) $M \cong N$;
- (2) $Lt(M) \cong Lt(N)$;
- (3) $Lt_0(M) \cong Lt_0(N)$.

The proof of (3) $\rightarrow$ (1) is based on an intricate coding of the standard system of a countable arithmetically saturated model M of PA in $Lt(M)$ using particular facts about finitely generated submodels of M . Arithmetic saturation plays a crucial role. It is open whether the result holds for recursively saturated models that are not arithmetically saturated. Many other open questions remain. I propose two generalizations of Kotlarski's question and I will present some partial answers based on some results from [3], [6], and the survey paper [1].

[1] Athar Abdul-Quader and Roman Kossak, *The lattice problem for models of PA*, Bull. Symb. Log., 32(2):259–286, 2026.

[2] Roman Kossak and James H. Schmerl, *Arithmetically saturated models of arithmetic*, Notre Dame J. Formal Logic, 36(4):531–546, 1995. Special Issue: Models of arithmetic.

[3] Roman Kossak and James H. Schmerl, *On cofinal submodels and elementary interstices*, Notre Dame J. Form. Log., 53(3):267–287, 2012.

[4] Henryk Kotlarski, *On elementary cuts in models of arithmetic*, Fund. Math., 115(1):27–31, 1983.

[5] Henryk Kotlarski, *On elementary cuts in recursively saturated models of Peano arithmetic*, Fund. Math., 120(3):205–222, 1984.

[6] James H. Schmerl, *The diversity of minimal cofinal extensions*, Notre Dame J. Form. Log., 63(4):493–514, 2022.