

On the finite cohesiveness principle

Sun Mengzhou

University of Warsaw

September 10, 2025

Reverse math

- ▶ Reverse mathematics is a program that seeks to determine which axioms are required to prove theorems of mathematics.
- ▶ The **Big Five**:

$$\text{RCA}_0 < \text{WKL}_0 < \text{ACA}_0 < \text{ATR}_0 < \Pi_1^1\text{-CA}_0.$$

- ▶ Most mathematic theorems (that can be formalized in second-order arithmetic) are proven to be equivalent to one of the above systems.
- ▶ However, some theorems (e.g., RT_2^2) are exceptions...

Fragments of first- and second-order arithmetic

- ▶ The language of first/second-order arithmetic:

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = \{+, \times, <, =, 0, 1\}, \mathcal{L}_2 = \{+, \times, <, =, 0, 1, \in\}.$$

- ▶ $\text{I}\Sigma_n^0$ consists of PA^- and **Induction** for all Σ_n^0 formulas φ :

$$\varphi(0, \bar{c}) \wedge (\forall x (\varphi(x, \bar{c}) \rightarrow \varphi(x + 1, \bar{c})) \rightarrow \forall x \varphi(x, \bar{c}).$$

- ▶ $\text{B}\Sigma_n^0$ consists of $\text{I}\Delta_0^0$ and **Collection** for all Σ_n^0 formulas φ :

$$\forall x < a \exists y \varphi(x, y, \bar{c}) \rightarrow \exists b \forall x < a \exists y < b \varphi(x, y, \bar{c}).$$

- ▶ exp denotes the totality of the exponentiation function.
- ▶ (Paris–Kirby 1978) $\text{I}\Delta_0^0 + \text{exp} \not\vdash \text{B}\Sigma_1^0 + \text{exp} \not\vdash \text{I}\Sigma_1^0 \not\vdash \text{B}\Sigma_2^0 \not\vdash \text{I}\Sigma_2^0 \dots$
and none of the converses holds.
- ▶ $\text{RCA}_0 = \text{I}\Sigma_1^0 + \Delta_1^0$ -comprehension.
 $\text{WKL}_0 = \text{RCA}_0 +$ “each infinite binary tree has an infinite path”.

Cohesiveness principle

Definition

- ▶ Let $R = (R_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of sets. We say that a set H is **R -cohesive** if for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, either $H \subseteq^* R_k$ or $H \subseteq^* R_k^c$ ($A \subseteq^* B$ means $A \subseteq B$ except finitely many elements)
- ▶ The cohesiveness principle (COH) claims that every sequence of sets has an infinite cohesive set.

Cohesiveness principle

Definition

- ▶ Let $R = (R_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of sets. We say that a set H is **R -cohesive** if for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, either $H \subseteq^* R_k$ or $H \subseteq^* R_k^c$ ($A \subseteq^* B$ means $A \subseteq B$ except finitely many elements)
- ▶ The cohesiveness principle (COH) claims that every sequence of sets has an infinite cohesive set.

Facts

- ▶ (Cholak–Jockusch–Slaman 2001, Mileti 2004)
 $\text{RCA}_0 \vdash \text{RT}_2^2 \leftrightarrow \text{SRT}_2^2 + \text{COH}$.
- ▶ (CJS 2001, Chong–Slaman–Yang 2012, Belanger 2015)
COH is Π_1^1 -conservative over RCA_0 , $\text{RCA}_0 + \text{B}\Sigma_2^0$,
 $\text{RCA}_0 + \text{I}\Sigma_2^0 \dots$

A weaker base theory: RCA_0^*

Definition (Simpson–Smith 1986)

The system RCA_0^* consists of $\text{B}\Sigma_1^0$, Δ_1^0 -comprehension and exp .

Facts

Let $(M, \mathcal{X}) \models \text{RCA}_0^* + \neg\text{I}\Sigma_1^0$, then

- ▶ there is a proper cut I and a monotone function $G: I \rightarrow M$ in \mathcal{X} , whose range is cofinal in M .
- ▶ (Chong–Mourad 1990) Let $X \subseteq I$. If X and $I \setminus X$ are both Σ_1^0 -definable, then $X \in \text{Cod}(M/I)$.

Ramsey over RCA_0^*

Facts/Theorems (Fiori-Carones–Kołodziejczyk–Kowalik 2020)

- ▶ Many Ramsey-style principles are mutually transferred between the model and the cut.

$$(M, \mathcal{X}) \models P \iff (I, \text{Cod}(M/I)) \models P,$$

where $P \in \{\text{CAC}, \text{ADS}, \text{RT}_2^2, \text{RT}_2^3 \dots\}$.

- ▶ As a consequence, the strength of Ramsey style theorems over RCA_0^* differs from that over RCA_0 .
- ▶ However, COH seems to be an exception.

Question (FKK 2020, Belanger 2015)

Is $\text{RCA}_0^* + \neg \text{I}\Sigma_1^0 + \text{COH}$ consistent? Is there any conservation results for COH over RCA_0^* ?

Cohesiveness principle vs RCA_0^*

Theorem (S. 2025)

$\text{RCA}_0^* + \text{COH} \vdash \text{I}\Sigma_1^0$.

Cohesiveness principle vs RCA_0^*

Theorem (S. 2025)

$\text{RCA}_0^* + \text{COH} \vdash \text{I}\Sigma_1^0$.

Proof

Suppose not, fix a model $(M, \mathcal{X}) \models \text{RCA}_0^* + \neg\text{I}\Sigma_1^0 + \text{COH}$.

Let I and $G: I \rightarrow M$ be as above.

- ▶ Consider the following instance of COH:

$$(R_x)_{x \in M} = \{ \langle n, x \rangle \in M \mid \exists i \in x \ n \in [G(i), G(i+1)) \} \in \mathcal{X}$$

- ▶ Let H be $(R_x)_{x \in M}$ -cohesive. The set below is $\text{Cod}(M/I)$ -cohesive in $(I, \text{Cod}(M/I))$.

$$H' = \{ i \in I \mid \exists n \in H \ n \in [G(i), G(i+1)) \}$$

- ▶ By the Chong–Mourad coding lemma, H' itself is also in $\text{Cod}(M/I)$, contradiction! □

Remark: H being $(R_x)_{x < 2^b}$ -cohesive suffices, assuming $b > I$.

Finite cohesiveness principle

Definition

The finite cohesiveness principle (fin-COH) claims that every finite sequence of sets has an infinite cohesive set.

Question

How strong is fin-COH?

Facts

- ▶ $\text{RCA}_0^* + \text{fin-COH} \vdash \text{I}\Sigma_1^0$.
- ▶ fin-COH is Π_1^1 -conservative over RCA_0 .

The strength of fin-COH

With strong enough induction, fin-COH becomes trivial.

Theorem

$\text{RCA}_0 + \text{B}\Sigma_2^0 \vdash \text{fin-COH}$.

Proof.

Let $R = (R_i)_{i < b}$ be a sequence of sets of length b . Then

$$\mathbb{N} = \bigcup_{\text{len}(\sigma)=b} \bigcap_{i < b} R_i^{\sigma(i)}$$

where $R_i^0 = R$, $R_i^1 = R^c$.

By $\text{B}\Sigma_2^0$, $\bigcap_{i < b} R_i^{\sigma(i)}$ is infinite for some σ , which is trivially R -cohesive. □

The strength of fin-COH

However (fortunately?), fin-COH is not completely trivial.

Theorem (S. 2025)

$WKL_0 \not\vdash \text{fin-COH}$.

We postpone the proof a few slides later.

The strength of fin-COH

However (fortunately?), fin-COH is not completely trivial.

Theorem (S. 2025)

$WKL_0 \not\vdash \text{fin-COH}$.

We postpone the proof a few slides later.

Question (Yokoyama.)

Does $RCA_0 + \neg B\Sigma_2^0 \vdash \text{fin-COH} \leftrightarrow \text{COH}$?

The strength of fin-COH

However (fortunately?), fin-COH is not completely trivial.

Theorem (S. 2025)

$WKL_0 \not\vdash \text{fin-COH}$.

We postpone the proof a few slides later.

Question (Yokoyama.)

Does $RCA_0 + \neg B\Sigma_2^0 \vdash \text{fin-COH} \leftrightarrow \text{COH}$?

Proposition (S. 2025)

$RCA_0 +$ "There is a Σ_2^0 partial **surjection** with bounded domain" implies $\text{fin-COH} \leftrightarrow \text{COH}$.

The strength of fin-COH

Proposition (S. 2025)

$\text{RCA}_0 +$ "There is a Σ_2^0 partial **surjection** with bounded domain" implies $\text{fin-COH} \leftrightarrow \text{COH}$.

Observation

Let $R = (R_i)$, $S = (S_j)$ be two sequences of sets. If for any i there is some j such that $R_i =^* S_j$, then any S -cohesive set H will also be R -cohesive.

Proof.

- ▶ Let $f: a \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be such a surjection. Take $g: a \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ as its approximation. i.e., $\forall j < a \ f(j) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} g(j, x)$.
- ▶ For any sequence of sets $R = (R_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, define $(S_j)_{j < a}$ by

$$x \in S_j \iff x \in R_{g(j,x)}.$$

- ▶ Now $S_{f^{-1}(i)} =^* R_i$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$.



The strength of fin-COH

Theorem

$WKL_0 \not\equiv \text{fin-COH}$.

Proof.

- ▶ There exists a (non-standard) pointwise Σ_2 -definable model of $I\Sigma_1$, which satisfies the assumption in the proposition above.
- ▶ (Cholak–Jockusch–Slaman 2001) There is an ω -model satisfying $WKL_0 + \neg\text{COH}$.
 - ▶ There is a ω -model of WKL_0 consisting only of low sets.
 - ▶ (Jockusch–Stephan 1993) Any set cohesive for all the primitive recursive sets cannot be low.
- ▶ The construction and the proof above can be carried out in models of $I\Sigma_1$.



Summary

- ▶ $\text{RCA}_0^* + \text{fin-COH} \vdash \text{I}\Sigma_1^0$.
- ▶ $\text{RCA}_0 + \text{B}\Sigma_2^0 \vdash \text{fin-COH}$.
- ▶ $\text{WKL}_0 \not\vdash \text{fin-COH}$.
- ▶ $\text{RCA}_0 +$
"There is a Σ_2^0 partial **surjection** with bounded domain"
implies $\text{fin-COH} \leftrightarrow \text{COH}$.

Thank You!